

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 07/02/2003 200209928-1 4418 Tienteh Chen 10/613,495 **EXAMINER** 22879 07/15/2005 7590 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 1774

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		155
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	10/613,495	CHEN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
NOTICE OF APPEAL			•
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially r	educing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	nt canceliñg
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the contract of the co	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that the evidence submitted with the Declaration was substantially illegible. If resubmitted, it should be clear from the evidence and the language of the Declaration that the parameters of claim 1 were all demonstrated by a single experimental embodiment.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	10
13. Other:		PAMELAR S PRIMARY E	THU2 CHWARTX XAMINER
•			